



COLERAINE BOROUGH COUNCIL

Our Ref : RD/JH
Your Ref:
Being Dealt with by: Rory Donnelly

10 January 2008

DHSSPS
Investing for Health Unit
Health Improvement Policy Branch (Tobacco Control)
Room C4.22
Castle Buildings
Belfast
BT4 3SQ

Dear Sir / Madam

Re: **Consultation Questionnaire on Minimum Age of Sale of Tobacco Products**

I enclose a response on behalf of Coleraine Borough Council to the questionnaire on the options on the minimum age of sale of tobacco products.

This matter was raised at the Council meeting of December 2007 when Council supported increasing the age limit to 18 years.

I trust this is satisfactory however should you wish to discuss any matter in further detail please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours faithfully


Rory Donnelly
Senior Environmental Health Officer

D. Wreath
Acting Town Clerk and Chief Executive
Email: townclerk@colerainebc.gov.uk

David F. K. Bell
Director of Corporate Services
Tel: 028 7034 7134
Fax: 028 7034 7143
Email: corporate@colerainebc.gov.uk

Jim E. Curry
Director of Leisure Services
Tel: 028 7034 7234
Fax: 028 7034 7239
Email: leisure@colerainebc.gov.uk

Kieran J. Doherty
Director of Environmental Health
Tel: 028 7034 7171
Fax: 028 7034 7195
Email: eh@colerainebc.gov.uk

D. Wreath
Director of Technical Services
Deputy Chief Executive
Tel: 028 7034 7272
Fax: 028 7034 7256
Email: technical@colerainebc.gov.uk

Page 1 of 1



Department of
**Health, Social Services
and Public Safety**

An Roinn

**Sláinte, Seirbhísí Sóisialta
agus Sábháilteachta Poiblí**

www.hsspsm.gov.uk

CONSULTATION QUESTIONNAIRE ON

MINIMUM AGE OF SALE OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS

October 2007

INTRODUCTION

Purpose

1. This Questionnaire seeks views on whether the Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety should use the power contained in the Smoking (Northern Ireland) Order 2006, to amend, by regulations, the age of sale of tobacco products.
2. The Department carried out an Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) screening exercise on the proposed legislation. The results, which include equality considerations and a Partial Regulatory Impact Assessment, are set out in Annexes 1 and 2 of the consultation package.

Background

3. In March 2006, the Department undertook a public consultation exercise on the content of the then draft Smoking (Northern Ireland) Order 2006. Article 14 of the draft Order included a power for the Department to amend, by regulations, the age limit for the sale of tobacco products – currently 16 years - and respondents were invited to comment on whether the Department should take this power. It was made clear that any proposal to raise the age of sale would be the subject of further consultation.
4. There was strong support for the Department taking the power, with 78% in favour of this action. The majority of those in favour felt that the Department should go further and raise the age limit immediately. The main reasons offered were that such action would enable the age of sale to be brought into line with that for alcohol sales (18 years); that it would also bring Northern Ireland into line with the Republic of Ireland; and that it might make enforcement easier, particularly in border areas.
5. The age of sale has been raised to 18 in England, Wales and Scotland with effect from 1 October 2007. The Smoking (Northern Ireland) Order 2006, the main provisions of which came into operation on 30 April 2007, contains the power for the Department to amend the age of sale of tobacco products.

Consultation - How to Respond

6. **The consultation will run from 29 October 2007 to 18 January 2008.** The Questionnaire sets out three options on the minimum age of sale of tobacco products about which the Department would welcome views. It also seeks views on the Integrated Impact Assessment Overview and Partial Regulatory Impact Assessment.

7. In order to facilitate analysis it is important that respondents use the Questionnaire. Completed Questionnaires **must be received by the Department by 5.00pm on Friday 18 January 2008**. Responses should be posted to:

**DHSSPS
Investing for Health Unit
Health Improvement Policy Branch (Tobacco Control)
Room C4.22
Castle Buildings
Belfast
BT4 3SQ
Tel: 028 90520534**

Alternatively responses can be submitted via the online response form using the following web link:

<http://www.dhsspsni.gov.uk/tobacco-age-of-sale.htm>

Alternative formats

8. If you require the consultation documents in an alternative format (such as in large print, in braille, on audio cassette, easy read or computer disc) and/or in another language please contact Heather Rainey on 028 90520525 or text phone 02890527668 to discuss your requirements.

QUESTIONNAIRE

Q1. Article 14 of the Smoking (Northern Ireland) Order 2006 provides the power for the Department to amend the age of sale of tobacco products, but this must not be lower than the present age of 16 years. Do you think the Department should:

continue with the present age limit of 16;

increase the age limit to 17; or

increase the age limit to 18?

Please tick the appropriate box to indicate which of the options you favour.

No Change

Increase to 17

Increase to 18

X

If you wish to comment on your preferred option, please do so here.

Increasing the age to 18 sends a strong message in relation to the health effects of tobacco products. It also achieves consistency with ROI and the rest of the UK and follows the World Health Organisation guidelines.

General

Q2. Do you have any views on the conclusions reached by the Department to screen out from further assessment the implications of the proposals in respect of:

- (a) **Social Impact Assessment (New TSN, Homelessness etc);**
- (b) **Rural ;**
- (c) **Environmental;**
- (d) **Human Rights;**
- (e) **Victims;**
- (f) **Community Safety & Other Areas?**

Is there any other evidence which you consider should have been taken into account in these assessments?

The departments conclusions to exclude from further assessments the issues listed above appears appropriate.

The Department would particularly welcome comments on the following:

Q3. Do you agree with the decision that the proposals do not require a full equality impact assessment? (see Annex 1 of consultation package). If not, please explain why?

We agree that a full equality impact assessment is not required.

Q4. Is there any other qualitative or quantitative information which you consider should have been taken into account in performing this exercise?

It would appear that the extent of consideration by the DHSSPS to both quantitative and qualitative information appears appropriate.

Q5. Are you aware of any evidence - qualitative or quantitative - that the proposals may have an adverse impact on equality of opportunity or on good relations? If so, please provide details. Can you suggest any ways of avoiding or minimising such adverse impact?

We are not aware of any evidence that the proposals would have an adverse impact on equality of opportunity or on good relations.

Q6. Are you aware of any other equality implications likely to arise from the proposals?

The proposals have positive equality implications for persons from disadvantaged backgrounds as these groups may have a reduced uptake of tobacco and from that an increase in life expectancy.

Partial Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA)

(see Annex 2 of consultation package)

Health

Q7. Do you have any views on the assessment of health impacts?

In relation to the answers to the questions in Annex 2 of the consultation document the DHSSPS conclusions appear appropriate. We believe that there are positive implications from the policy as the use of tobacco will reduce. It is also likely that there will be an increased demand for NRT support from young people.

Q8. Are there any other potential health impacts that you consider should have been addressed?

There may be a positive impact in relation to tobacco addiction in young people and this may also have the knock on effect of increasing overall health and well being. This may also contribute to reducing health inequalities by creating healthier environments.

Q9. Is there any other material evidence which you consider should have been taken into account in this assessment of health impacts?

It would appear that the criteria considered are sufficiently broad and are appropriate for the policy proposals.

Economic

Q10. Do you have any general comments on the overall approach that was taken in completing the RIA?

The approach taken appears to be appropriate and has identified the economic consequences of the policy proposal.

Q11. Do you consider that there are other issues which need to be taken into account in the assessment of the impact on business?

It is our view that increasing the age of sale to 18 years will assist the Management of businesses in reducing the sale of tobacco products to young people and will facilitate the enforcement of the age restricted sale of tobacco products by Environmental Health Officers.

Q12. Do you agree with the analysis of the sectors and business/organisations which might be particularly affected by the proposals?

The analysis has correctly identified the main sectors likely to be affected.

Q13. What are your views on the identification and assessment of the costs and benefits?

It would appear that the costs attributed are appropriate and there are significant benefits to be gained in the long term from increasing the age limit to 18 as suggested by option 2.

Public Expenditure and Public Service

Q14. Do you agree with the Department's view that a separate Economic Appraisal is not required?

We would agree with the DHSSPS in that a separate Economic assessment is not required, as the information presented identifies a clear justification for increasing the age to 18 years and no further consideration is necessary.

Impact on Small Businesses

Q15. Do you agree that the proposals will not have a disproportionate impact on small businesses?

We would concur with the DHSSPS that the impact on small businesses is not likely to be significant and that there would be no disproportionate affect on small businesses. It is likely that reduced revenue in tobacco sales will be compensated for by increased sales of other products.

Q16. Are there any impacts on small businesses that you consider should have been addressed?

There are no additional impacts that need to be considered in relation to raising the age to 18.

Q17. Is there any other material evidence which you consider should have been taken into account in assessing the impact on small businesses?

We are not aware of any material evidence that should be considered.

Rural Proofing

Q18. Do you agree that the proposals will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on rural business?

We agree that the proposals will not have a disproportionate adverse impact on rural businesses. All businesses will be affected equally and this is not a predominantly rural issue.

Q19. Are there any rural impacts that you consider should have been addressed?

As the proposal is a change to existing legislation, it is felt that there are unlikely to be any negative impacts on rural companies from raising the age of sale to 18 years.

Q20. Is there any other material evidence which you consider should have been taken into account in this assessment of rural impacts?

We are currently unaware of any negative material evidence, which should have been taken into account.

Additional Comments

Q21. Do you have any other comments or suggestions on the Integrated Impact Assessment Overview? (Annex 1 of consultation package)

We strongly support the policy aim and targets and believe that due to the pattern of tobacco use by young persons through peer and group association, that a reduction in potential uptake may reduce the exposure of others to tobacco products, and second hand smoke.

Thank you for taking time to complete this Questionnaire.